

## Mixed-phase

#### Paul Field

Richard Cotton, Adrian Lock, Kirsty McBeath, Richard Allen, Stuart Webster, Adrian Hill, Kalli Furtado, Alexei Korolev, Andy Heymsfield, Arron Bansemer.







Figure 1. Analysis chart for 12 UTC 31st January 2010.





© Crown copyright Met Office





W m<sup>-2</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>



W m<sup>-2</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>

#### AMSR WVP 12:55



8 10 0 6

Model WVP 11:00



6 8

0 2

AMSR LWP 12:55 b 0 kg m<sup>-2</sup>

0.1 0.2 0.3 0

Model LWP 11:00



0.2

0.3

0.1

0





140 160 180 200 220

Model LW 13:00





**CERES SW 12:48** 



100 60 150 0

#### Model SW 13:00



#### 150 50 100 0

#### Microwave Obs

### Control Model

Kirsty McBeath

Reflectivity values for UM computed using model microphysics data, this reduces processing done on radar data and removes assumptions used when converting reflectivity to rain-rate

### Radar

Model





© Crown copyright Met Office

Main short comings of the control model:

Not enough cloud cover in the stratiform region Not enough liquid water

Experiment	Sh. dom. BL.	Tnuc=-18C	AcE=0.1	No ice	PSD	3dSmag
dimsh						
dimsp				$\checkmark$		
dimsq			$\checkmark$			
dimsn						$\checkmark$
dimsk	$\checkmark$					
dimsi	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
dimsz	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
dimsy	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
dimsu	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
dimsw		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$



I. Stable boundary layer, possibly with non-turbulent cloud (no cumulus, no decoupled Sc, stable surface layer)





II. Stratocumulus over a stable surface layer (no cumulus, decoupled Sc, stable surface layer)



#### (c)

III. Single mixed layer, possibly cloud-topped (no cumulus, no decoupled Sc, unstable surface layer)











Experiment	Sh. dom. BL.	Tnuc=-18C	AcE=0.1	No ice	PSD	3dSmag
dimsh						
dims <b>p</b>				$\checkmark$		
dimsq			$\checkmark$			
dimsn						$\checkmark$
dims <b>k</b>	$\checkmark$					
dimsi	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
dimsz	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
dimsy	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
dimsu	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
dimsw		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$



(d)

Experiment	Sh. dom. BL.	Tnuc=-18C	AcE=0.1	No ice	PSD	3dSmag
dims <b>h</b>						
dims <b>p</b>				$\checkmark$		
dimsq			$\checkmark$			
dims <b>n</b>						$\checkmark$
dimsk	$\checkmark$					
dimsi	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
dimsz	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
dimsy	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
dimsu	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
dimsw		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$



## Wm 60 100 150





Modified Model Sh dom BL Thet=-18C New PSD







Wm

150

100





CERES LW 12:48







Model LW 13:00

200





0.2 0.3

0 0.1 21

kg m<sup>4</sup>

AMSR LWP 12:55

9

0.2 0.3

Model LWP 11:00

0.1

ka m

AMSR WVP 12:55

0

6 8 10

Model WVP 11:00

dimat

n 2

0 2 ka m

kg m

ka m

8

10

0.1 0.2

6 8 10

Model WVP 11:00



















## Effect in a climate model

### **Met Office**







Satellite



New

Bodas-Salcedo et al. 2012

## Aside part 1 - shattering



#### Korolev tips – from DMT site





### $Mn=\Sigma D^nN(D)$

### M0 = concentration

M1 proportional to diffusional growth

M2 proportional to IWC

M2+a bit proportional to snow flux

# M4 proportional to radar reflectivity



## Aside part 2 - mixed-phase

Met Office



The difference in vapour pressure above ice and supercooled liquid means that under no circumstance will the 3 phases of water come into equilibrium at the same temperature.

If the 3 phases are in contact (through the vapour phase) then the vapour pressure will adjust to a value between the saturated vapour pressure over ice and liquid. The consequence must be that diffusional growth of ice will occur at the same time as liquid evaporates. This will continue until the liquid is used up.

## Wegener 1911

## Bergeron 1935 On the physics of cloud and precipitation

- Review of precipitation formation ideas
  - Based on approach that clouds are colloids and precipitation is driven by colloidal instability.
- Recognizes that the result of 'neighbouring elements of different phase' has not been explored.

• Bergeron –

'I thus presume that almost every real raindrop and all snowflakes originated around an ice crystal in the above mentioned way'







# Dynamical effects

• The difference in vapour pressure above ice and supercooled liquid means that under no circumstance will the 3 phases of water come into equilibrium at the same temperature

- Heymsfield 1977: Activate liquid water Uvert > threshold
- Mazin 1986:
- Korolev et al.



$$\frac{1}{1+S_i}\frac{\mathrm{d}S_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = a_i u - b_i B_0 M_1 S_i,$$



LEM  $\Delta x = 10m$  $\Delta z = 5m$ 1.3x1.3x2.5km<sup>3</sup> T = -9, -6CIWC=0.1 g/kg Nice=1, 10, 100

Shear: 10 m/s over 50m 15 m/s " "

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+S_i} \frac{dS_i}{dt} &= a_i u - b_i B_0 M_1 S_i, \\ dS_i &= (-(B+C)S_i + CS_E) dt + A\xi dt \\ A &= a_i \sigma_w \tau_d^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ B &= b_i B_0 M_1 \\ \text{microphys} \\ \text{and} \\ C &= (\left(\frac{\epsilon}{L^2}\right)^{1/3}). \\ \text{homogenisation} \\ \langle S_i \rangle &= S_E \frac{C}{B+C} \\ \sigma_S^2 &= \frac{a_i^2 \sigma_w^2 \tau_d}{2(b_i B_0 M_1 + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{L^2}\right)^{1/3})}. \end{aligned}$$







## Supercooled liquid water distribution



Given turbulence characteristics (TKE, L) and ice cloud properties, we can estimate supercooled liquid cloud fraction (mixed-phase fraction) and the mean liquid water content of the turbulent region







Need to reassess treatment of mixed-phase – physically based subgrid treatment

Need to understand if existing PSDs representations are still adequate in the light of shattering

Need to re-examine het. ice nucleation representation – do we need to represent IN prognostically

© Crown copyright Met Office